1	RESOLUTION NO. 2013-181 First Reading Passage Requested (J. Dodson)		
2	SPONSORS Mr. Pry, Mr. Schmidt, Mr. Ko	-	
	Mr. Crawford, Ms. Prentice, M		
4	1411. Clawlold, 1413. I Telliloo, 15	113. Dilapito, 1413. Katt, 1413	<u>. 100</u>
6	DATEApril 29, 2013	COMMITTEE	Public Safety
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Resolution expressing this Council's op House of Representative's 2013 - 2014 Bie the Summit County Court of Common Senate and Governor to act to eliminate t 2014 State Biennial Budget, for the Execut	ennial Budget Bill, to add Pleas — Juvenile Division his provision from the fi	d an additional judge for on, and urging the Ohio inal version of the 2013 -
17	Juvenile Division ("Juvenile Court") beginni	hio House of Representation the Summit County County	ve's 2013 - 2014 Biennial ourt of Common Pleas -
20 21	WHEREAS, the Ohio House has rec Amendment, and the Ohio Senate and Gover		-
18 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	WHEREAS, the Juvenile Court Ame and Slaby without either the Supreme Court the need of an additional Juvenile Court jud such a study; and	of Ohio or the Juvenile Co	ourt conducting a study for
30 31	cost of an additional Juvenile Court judge, plus costs to renovate the Juvenile Court for	ouncil or the County Execution which is expected to except to except the country of the country	cutive, who must fund the eed \$300,000.00 annually,
32 33 34 35 36 37	of the Ohio General Assembly and Govern services for Summit County's children and fa	ready been devastated in a nor, likely leading to redu	recent years by the actions
38 39 40 41 42	WHEREAS, based on the Supreme Court Report"), the Juvenile Court's caseloa large Ohio counties, with Summit at 8,111 n Montgomery, 6,416 new cases per judge in Hamilton; and	nd with a single judge is one cases compared to 8,0	currently in-line with other 052 new cases per judge in
44 45 46	WHEREAS, the number of cases for to 8,111 in 2011; and	the Juvenile Court has dro	opped from 12,475 in 2003
47 48 49 50	WHEREAS, the Juvenile Court with Court of Ohio guidelines for the timeliness unruly and (iv) dependency, neglect and ab two judges – Montgomery and Lucas, includ dependency, neglect and abuse cases at the endough the court of	of disposing with (i) de suses cases than the most ling zero non-compliant (i	linquency, (ii) traffic, (iii) comparable counties with
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	large Ohio counties, with Summit at 8,111 n Montgomery, 6,416 new cases per judge in Hamilton; and WHEREAS, the number of cases for to 8,111 in 2011; and WHEREAS, the Juvenile Court with Court of Ohio guidelines for the timeliness unruly and (iv) dependency, neglect and ab two judges – Montgomery and Lucas, includ dependency, neglect and abuse cases at the en	Lucas and a high of 14,1 the Juvenile Court has dro the a single judge is more to of disposing with (i) de suses cases than the most ling zero non-compliant (ii)	78 new cases per judge opped from 12,475 in 20 e compliant with Supres linquency, (ii) traffic, (ii) comparable counties w

RESOLUTION NO.	2013-181
PAGE TWO	

WHEREAS, for the past five years, the Juvenile Court has received either the highest or second highest allocation of RECLAIM Ohio funds from the State of Ohio Department of Youth Services – funds resulting from effective local programming designed to keep children with their families rather than in State custody; and

WHEREAS, the above statistics demonstrate that there is no legitimate governmental, judicial or community need for a second judge at the Juvenile Court; and

WHEREAS, the Juvenile Court Amendment introduced by Representatives DeVitis and Slaby and passed by the Ohio House of Representatives is not supported by any factual or statistical data and will serve as an unnecessary financial drain on the County; and

WHEREAS, the Juvenile Court Amendment is, at best, an example of irresponsible conduct by the Representatives who have failed and refused to properly investigate and identify the needs for and consequences of, the amendment, and, at worst, is an example of injecting partisan politics into the judiciary to the detriment of the children and families of Summit County; and

WHEREAS, for the reasons set forth above, and after reviewing all pertinent information available this Council finds and determines that it is necessary, and in the best interest of the County, to oppose the Juvenile Court Amendment and to encourage the Ohio Senate and Governor to act to eliminate the same from the final version of the 2013 – 2014 State Biennial Budget.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the County of Summit, State of Ohio, that:

SECTION 1

This Council hereby expresses its opposition to, and adamantly opposes, the amendment introduced by State Representatives DeVitis and Slaby to H.B. 59, the Ohio House of Representatives' 2013 – 2014 Biennial Budget Bill to add an additional judge for the Summit County Court of Common Pleas – Juvenile Division beginning January 1, 2015 as an unnecessary, unsubstantiated, ill-advised and irresponsible intrusion into the Summit County judiciary.

SECTION 2

This Council strongly urges the Ohio Senate and Governor to act to eliminate the provisions of the amendment identified in Section 1 from the final version of the 2013 -2014 State Biennial Budget.

SECTION 3

The Clerk of Council is hereby directed to mail and e-mail a copy of this Resolution to the State Representatives and Senators representing portions of Summit County, all State Senators on the Finance and Judiciary Committees, Senate Minority and Majority Leadership and the Governor.

RESOLUTION NO.	2013-181	
PAGE THREE		

SECTION 4

This Resolution is hereby declared an emergency in the interest of the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the County of Summit, and for the further purpose of immediately expressing its opposition and urging the State Senate and Governor to act before they take any further action on the 2013 - 2014 State Biennial Budget.

SECTION 5

Provided this Resolution receives the affirmative vote of eight members, it shall take effect immediately upon its adoption and approval by the Executive; otherwise, it shall take effect and be in force at the earliest time provided by law.

SECTION 6

3 3

It is found and determined that all formal actions of this Council concerning and relating to the adoption of this Resolution were adopted in an open meeting of this Council, and that all deliberations of this Council and of any of its committees that resulted in such formal action, were in meetings open to the public, in compliance with all legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

INTRODUCED April 29, 2013	A
ADOPTED April 29, 2013	
AMOLO	
CERK OF COUNCIL	PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL
APPROVED_April 30, 2013	
Zugett An Par	
EXECUTIVE	
ENACTED EFFECTIVE April 30, 2	013

Voice Vote: 9-2: YES: Comunale, Crawford, Feeman, Kostandaras, Kurt, Lee, Prentice, Schmidt, Shapiro. No: Rodgers, Roemer